CS 188: Artificial Intelligence
Spring 2010

Lecture 20: HMMs and Particle Filtering

4/5/2010

Pieter Abbeel --- UC Berkeley

Many slides over this course adapted from Dan Klein, Stuart Russell, Andrew Moore

Announcements

- Course contest



- Fun! (And extra credit.)
- Regular tournaments
- Instructions posted soon!

Mid-Semester Evals

- Generally, things seem good!
- General
  - Examples are appreciated in lecture
  - Favorite aspect: projects (almost all) --- writtens significantly less preferred
- Office hours:
  - Most common answers: “Helpful.” and “Haven’t gone.”
  - Some: too crowded. → perhaps try a different office hour slot
- Section:
  - Split between basically positive and don’t go
- Assignments
  - Written: median time 6hrs
  - Programming: median time 10hrs
- Exams:
  - Midterm: evening (13) vs in-class (11) or indifferent (8)
- Do the contest

3

Outline

- *HMMs: representation*
- HMMs: inference
  - Forward algorithm
  - Particle filtering

8

Recap: Reasoning Over Time

- Stationary Markov models





$P(X_1)$ $P(X|X_{-1})$

- Hidden Markov models



$P(E|X)$

X	E	P
rain	umbrella	0.9
rain	no umbrella	0.1
sun	umbrella	0.2
sun	no umbrella	0.8

Conditional Independence

- HMMs have two important independence properties:
  - Markov hidden process, future depends on past via the present
  - Current observation independent of all else given current state



- Quiz: does this mean that observations are independent given no evidence?
  - [No, correlated by the hidden state]

Real HMM Examples

- Speech recognition HMMs:
  - Observations are acoustic signals (continuous valued)
  - States are specific positions in specific words (so, tens of thousands)
- Machine translation HMMs:
  - Observations are words (tens of thousands)
  - States are translation options
- Robot tracking:
  - Observations are range readings (continuous)
  - States are positions on a map (continuous)

Outline

- HMMs: representation
- HMMs: inference
  - *Forward algorithm*
  - Particle filtering

12

Filtering / Monitoring

- Filtering, or monitoring, is the task of tracking the distribution B(X) (the belief state) over time
- We start with B(X) in an initial setting, usually uniform
- As time passes, or we get observations, we update B(X)
- The Kalman filter was invented in the 60's and first implemented as a method of trajectory estimation for the Apollo program

Example: Robot Localization

Example from Michael Pfeiffer



t=0

Sensor model: never more than 1 mistake

Motion model: may not execute action with small prob.

Example: Robot Localization



t=1

Example: Robot Localization



t=2

Example: Robot Localization



t=3

Example: Robot Localization



t=4

Example: Robot Localization



t=5

Inference Recap: Simple Cases



$$P(X_1|e_1)$$

$$P(x_1|e_1) = P(x_1, e_1)/P(e_1)$$
$$\propto_{X_1} P(x_1, e_1)$$
$$= P(x_1)P(e_1|x_1)$$



$$P(X_2)$$

$$P(x_2) = \sum_{x_1} P(x_1, x_2)$$
$$= \sum_{x_1} P(x_1)P(x_2|x_1)$$

Passage of Time

- Assume we have current belief P(X | evidence to date)

$$B(X_t) = P(X_t|e_{1:t})$$



- Then, after one time step passes:

$$P(X_{t+1}|e_{1:t}) = \sum_{x_t} P(X_{t+1}|x_t)P(x_t|e_{1:t})$$

- Or, compactly:

$$B'(X_{t+1}) = \sum_{x_t} P(X'|x)B(x_t)$$

- Basic idea: beliefs get "pushed" through the transitions
  - With the "B" notation, we have to be careful about what time step t the belief is about, and what evidence it includes

Example: Passage of Time

- As time passes, uncertainty "accumulates"



T = 1



T = 2



T = 5

$$B'(X') = \sum_{x} P(X'|x)B(x)$$

Transition model: ghosts usually go clockwise

Observation

- Assume we have current belief P(X | previous evidence):

$$B'(X_{t+1}) = P(X_{t+1}|e_{1:t})$$



- Then:

$$P(X_{t+1}|e_{1:t+1}) \propto P(e_{t+1}|X_{t+1})P(X_{t+1}|e_{1:t})$$

- Or:

$$B(X_{t+1}) \propto P(e|X)B'(X_{t+1})$$

- Basic idea: beliefs reweighted by likelihood of evidence
- Unlike passage of time, we have to renormalize

Example: Observation

- As we get observations, beliefs get reweighted, uncertainty “decreases”



Before observation



After observation

$$B(X) \propto P(e|X)B'(X)$$

Example HMM



The Forward Algorithm

- We are given evidence at each time and want to know

$$B_t(X) = P(X_t | e_{1:t})$$

- We can derive the following updates

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t}) \propto_X P(x_t, e_{1:t})$$
$$= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}, x_t, e_{1:t})$$
$$= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}, e_{1:t-1}) P(x_t|x_{t-1}) P(e_t|x_t)$$
$$= P(e_t|x_t) \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_t|x_{t-1}) P(x_{t-1}, e_{1:t-1})$$

We can normalize as we go if we want to have P(x|e) at each time step, or just once at the end…

Online Belief Updates

- Every time step, we start with current P(X | evidence)
- We update for time:

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(x_t|x_{t-1})$$



- We update for evidence:

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t}) \propto_X P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(e_t|x_t)$$



- The forward algorithm does both at once (and doesn't normalize)
- Problem: space is |X| and time is $|X|^2$ per time step

Recap: Filtering

Elapse time: compute P(X_t | $e_{1:t-1}$)

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(x_t|x_{t-1})$$

Observe: compute P(X_t | $e_{1:t}$)

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t}) \propto P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(e_t|x_t)$$



Belief: <P(rain), P(sun)>

$P(X_1)$	<0.5, 0.5>	*Prior on X_1*
$P(X_1 \mid E_1 = umbrella)$	<0.82, 0.18>	*Observe*
$P(X_2 \mid E_1 = umbrella)$	<0.63, 0.37>	*Elapse time*
$P(X_2 \mid E_1 = umb, E_2 = umb)$	<0.88, 0.12>	*Observe*

Outline

- HMMs: representation
- HMMs: inference
  - Forward algorithm
  - *Particle filtering*

31

Particle Filtering

- Filtering: approximate solution
- Sometimes |X| is too big to use exact inference
  - |X| may be too big to even store B(X)
  - E.g. X is continuous
- Solution: approximate inference
  - Track samples of X, not all values
  - Samples are called particles
  - Time per step is linear in the number of samples
  - But: number needed may be large
  - In memory: list of particles, not states
- This is how robot localization works in practice



Particle Filtering: Elapse Time

- Each particle is moved by sampling its next position from the transition model

$$x' = \text{sample}(P(X'|x))$$

  - This is like prior sampling – samples' frequencies reflect the transition probs
  - Here, most samples move clockwise, but some move in another direction or stay in place
- This captures the passage of time
  - If we have enough samples, close to the exact values before and after (consistent)



Particle Filtering: Observe

- Slightly trickier:
  - We don't sample the observation, we fix it
  - This is similar to likelihood weighting, so we downweight our samples based on the evidence

$$w(x) = P(e|x)$$

$$B(X) \propto P(e|X)B'(X)$$

  - Note that, as before, the probabilities don't sum to one, since most have been downweighted (in fact they sum to an approximation of P(e))



Particle Filtering: Resample

- Rather than tracking weighted samples, we resample
- N times, we choose from our weighted sample distribution (i.e. draw with replacement)
- This is equivalent to renormalizing the distribution
- Now the update is complete for this time step, continue with the next one



Particle Filtering

- Sometimes |X| is too big to use exact inference
  - |X| may be too big to even store B(X)
  - E.g. X is continuous
  - $|X|^2$ may be too big to do updates
- Solution: approximate inference
  - Track samples of X, not all values
  - Samples are called particles
  - Time per step is linear in the number of samples
  - But: number needed may be large
  - In memory: list of particles, not states
- This is how robot localization works in practice



Representation: Particles

- Our representation of P(X) is now a list of N particles (samples)
  - Generally, N << |X|
  - Storing map from X to counts would defeat the point
- P(x) approximated by number of particles with value x
  - So, many x will have P(x) = 0!
  - More particles, more accuracy
- For now, all particles have a weight of 1



Particles:
(3,3)
(2,3)
(3,3)
(3,2)
(3,3)
(3,2)
(2,1)
(3,3)
(3,3)
(2,1)

37

Particle Filtering: Elapse Time

- Each particle is moved by sampling its next position from the transition model

$$x' = \text{sample}(P(X'|x))$$

  - This is like prior sampling – samples' frequencies reflect the transition probs
  - Here, most samples move clockwise, but some move in another direction or stay in place
- This captures the passage of time
  - If we have enough samples, close to the exact values before and after (consistent)



Particle Filtering: Observe

- Slightly trickier:
  - Don't do rejection sampling (why not?)
  - We don't sample the observation, we fix it
  - This is similar to likelihood weighting, so we downweight our samples based on the evidence

$$w(x) = P(e|x)$$

$$B(X) \propto P(e|X)B'(X)$$

  - Note that, as before, the probabilities don't sum to one, since most have been downweighted (in fact they sum to an approximation of P(e))



Particle Filtering: Resample

- Rather than tracking weighted samples, we resample
- N times, we choose from our weighted sample distribution (i.e. draw with replacement)
- This is equivalent to renormalizing the distribution
- Now the update is complete for this time step, continue with the next one

Old Particles:
(3,3) w=0.1
(2,1) w=0.9
(2,1) w=0.9
(3,1) w=0.4
(3,2) w=0.3
(2,2) w=0.4
(1,1) w=0.4
(3,1) w=0.4
(2,1) w=0.9
(3,2) w=0.3

Old Particles:
(2,1) w=1
(2,1) w=1
(2,1) w=1
(3,2) w=1
(2,2) w=1
(2,1) w=1
(1,1) w=1
(3,1) w=1
(2,1) w=1
(1,1) w=1



Robot Localization

- In robot localization:
  - We know the map, but not the robot's position
  - Observations may be vectors of range finder readings
  - State space and readings are typically continuous (works basically like a very fine grid) and so we cannot store B(X)
  - Particle filtering is a main technique

- [Demos]